

All-Party Parliamentary Loan Charge Taxpayer Fairness Group

Loan Charge Update - Personal Statement Individual who has settled - Form 2(b)

Loan scheme(s) used / operator(s)		Approximate liability (nearest £5K)	
Bank Of American Employee Benefit Trust, RBC CEES were the Trustees		£460,000	
		Settlement total figure	
If your loan has been subject to recall demands :		£460,000	
Who is demanding repayment?	RBC CEES	Further demands from HMRC	
And for how much in £	£1,435,477		
How many months/years using loan arrangements		Other Money paid (APNs, Penalties)	
18			
Date of Settlement	Settlement period (years/	months)	% of net income per month
Date of Settlement 14-Jan-21	Settlement period (years/ immediate	months)	% of net income per month
	immediate	months)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14-Jan-21	immediate ancially	•	950

The personal impact (financially and in other ways) if HMRC enforce the Loan Charge as laid down in the legislation

While I have no genuine issue with the desire of HMRC to recoup tax that hadnt been paid, I argued with them for years as they wanted to charge me my employers NIC contributions as part of my settlement. That would have cost me roughly £148,000 more. My former employer, Bank of America made it clear that they had no interest in paying their fair share.

The real issue I had was that as a result of the above, in April 2019 HMRC wanted me to pay a loan charge of £650,000, roughly 52% of what was in my Trust - a far higher rate of tax than I would have paid originally. I chose to repay my loans instead. After the Morse report, loans taken before 2010 were exempt from the loan charge. However, I had repaid mine. People who ignored HMRC and refused to pay the charge were rewarded for this by getting to keep their loans for eternity. However, I followed the law and obeyed HMRC. As a result, I ended up £460,000 out of pocket. This is a deeply unfair way to treat people who follow the law over those who don't.