

## All-Party Parliamentary Loan Charge Taxpayer Fairness Group

10374

Loan Charge Update - Personal Statement Individual who has settled - Form 2(b)

Loan scheme(s) used / operator(s)		Approximate liability (nearest £5K)	
K2,Lighthouse Trustees Ltd,Cirrus,Hyrax Resources Ltd		£45,000	
		Settlement total figure	
		£100,000	
If your loan has been subject to recall demands :		2100,000	
Who is demanding repayment ?	F S Capital Ltd	Further demands from HMRC	
And for how much in £	£98,000		
How many months/years using loan arrangements		Other Money paid (APNs, Penalties)	
33 Months			
Date of Settlement Settlement period (years/months) % of net income per month			
14-Aug-20			
The impact of settlement on you financially			
I had to find approximately £45,000 that I had not expected which has reduced the funds available for my retirement, at a time when those funds could not be replaced following the reduction in			
income brought about by retirement.			
The personal impact (financially and in other ways) if HMRC enforce the Loan Charge as laid down in the legislation			
The overall position is that HMRC have continually stated that the schemes did not work under the			
legislation in force at the time the schemes were operating. BUT HMRC still persuaded HM			
Government to pass the Loan Charge legislation and the legislation for APN\'s etc. which effectively			
is retrospective legislation. If HMRC were right why did they want a change in the law? It is clear			
that HMRC and Ministers were "economical with the truth" when persuing this course of action. I			
now find myself with the threat of having to repay the loans even after HMRC have taxed the loans			
as income. It would have been quite straightforward for HMRC to have amended the legislation so that loans that were taxed as income were reclassified as income for all purposes. HMRC chose			
not to do that and it appears that was because HMRC wanted to punish participants in the			
schemes.			